UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,964	04/07/2006	Takeshi Yoshimura	MIURA-7	5916	
	7590 06/05/200 ITE, ZELANO & BRA	. EXAM	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			LUGO, CARLOS		
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,	•		3676		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·							
Office Action S	ummon.	10/574,964	YOSHIMURA, TAKESI				
Office Action St	uninary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Carlos Lugo	3676				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	;s			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin; - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	FROM THE MAILING DA nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMUNICA 6(a). In no event, however, may a rep ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. If you be timely filed Is from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 April 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL .	·						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance v	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s) is/are withdray	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are a	allowed.		,				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are reje	☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are o	objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is obje	ected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration	is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is ma	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
•	the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
Attachment(s)		" 	(DTC 440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 			mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date			ormal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

 References JP 5524281 and JP556920 have not been considered since the applicant fails to provide a copy of the references. Therefore, the applicant is required to provide a copy of these documents so as to be considered.

Further the applicant list a document, JP H07-229345 in paragraph 4 line 6. Applicant is reminded that the listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, the applicant is required to provide a copy of these documents so as to be considered.

Drawings

2. Figures 6a and 6b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/574,964

Art Unit: 3676

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the 150 words limit, and because of the titles "objective", "means for solving the problem" and "selected figure: figure 1". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The specification is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Paragraph 2 line 9, change "through-hole 122" to -through-hole 129-.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Application/Control Number: 10/574,964 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

Change "rod-like" to -rod- or -rod member-.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

- The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims present the term "rod-like". At the instant, it is unclear what the applicant is trying to claim by this term.

Therefore, in order to continue with the examination, the term will be considered as a rod. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. At the instant, the prior art fails to disclose that the cross section of the rod has a portion larger than the other one.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 571-272-7058. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-7pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,964 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Carlos Lugo Patent Examiner Art Unit 3676

May 25, 2007.